



# TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

P.O. Box 12788 Austin, Texas 78711

April 9, 2020

Stuart W. Stedman  
CHAIR

Fred Farias III, O.D.  
VICE CHAIR

Ricky A. Raven  
SECRETARY OF THE BOARD

Lauren C. McKenzie  
STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

S. Javaid Anwar  
Cody C. Campbell  
Emma W. Schwartz  
R. Sam Torn  
Donna N. Williams  
Welcome Wilson, Jr.

Harrison Keller, Ph.D.  
COMMISSIONER  
OF HIGHER EDUCATION

(512) 427-6101  
Fax (512) 427-6127

Web site:  
<http://www.thecb.state.tx.us>

Dr. Joe May, Chancellor  
Dallas County Community College District  
1601 S. Lamar Street  
Dallas, Texas 75215

Dear Dr. May,

I am attaching the final report on *A Compliance Monitoring Audit of Formula Funding at Dallas County Community College District - Cedar Valley College*, Report No. THECB-CM-FF-20-015. There were no findings resulting from this engagement.

This Compliance Monitoring audit report will be presented to the THECB Committee on Agency Operations, a standing committee of the THECB Board, on July 22, 2020.

If you have any questions or comments, please let me know.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Paul Maeyaert". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Paul" being more prominent than the last name "Maeyaert".

Paul Maeyaert, JD, MBA, CIA, CGAP, CFE  
Assistant Director, Internal Audit and Compliance

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Dallas County Community College District - Cedar Valley College complied with relevant Coordinating Board (THECB) rules and regulations for enrollment data used for formula funding and with Texas Education Code Section 61.

We conducted this audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and recommendations based on our audit objectives. We believe the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for the findings and recommendations, if any, based on the audit objectives.

Our consideration of internal control was for the compliance purposes described in the scope section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control.

We reviewed the following areas:

### **Reported Semester Credit Hours were Eligible for Formula Funding**

No reportable findings were noted.

### **Student Tuition Payment was Received in Accordance with Requirements**

No reportable findings were noted.

### **Reported Enrollment was Eligible for Formula Funding**

No reportable findings were noted.

### **Instructors of Record met Applicable Requirements**

No reportable findings were noted.

### **Audit Scope, Objective, and Methodology**

Our audit included tests of enrollment data reported and certified by Dallas County Community College District - Cedar Valley College. Our audit focused on the following enrollment data and time periods:

- CBM001 (Student Report) – Summer semester 2018, Fall semester 2018, Spring semester 2019;
- CBM004 (Class Report) – Summer semester 2018, Fall semester 2018, Spring semester 2019; and
- CBM00C (Continuing Education Class Report) – Summer semester 2018, Fall semester 2018, Spring semester 2019.

Our work included procedures to verify:

- Reported semester credit hours were eligible for formula funding;
- Student tuition payment was received in accordance with requirements;
- Reported enrollment was eligible for formula funding; and
- Evidence of academic credentials were maintained to support required instructor qualifications.

The audit methodology included objectively reviewing and analyzing various forms of documentation, conducting interviews and observations, and performing other tests of controls necessary to achieve the objectives of the audit. THECB used random sampling to determine the extent to which Dallas County Community College District - Cedar Valley College accurately reported semester credit hour data.

## **Background**

Fifty public community college districts receive state appropriations based on their student enrollment data. These institutions are governed by locally elected boards that have the authority to levy property taxes in their districts. The state appropriations the colleges receive are used to fund administrative and instructional (education and general) services for post-secondary academic and vocational/technical education.

State financing of higher education programs for public community colleges is provided from the State's General Revenue Fund. The THECB and the Comptroller of Public Accounts distributes these appropriations to colleges based on allocations and performance measures. Each college submits enrollment reports (CBM Reports) to the THECB to document the number of contact hours taught by the college in each semester. The formula for state funding involves multiplying the base period contact hours submitted by colleges by the applicable funding rates.

**PERFORMED BY:**

Ms. Carol Conner, CFE, Compliance Specialist

CC:

**THECB**

**Board Members**

**Commissioner's Office**

Dr. Harrison Keller, Commissioner of Higher Education

Mr. Rey Rodriguez, Deputy Commissioner and Chief of Staff

Ms. Lori Fey, Deputy Commissioner, Data Analytics and Innovation

Mr. William Franz, General Counsel

**Strategic Planning and Funding**

Dr. Julie Eklund, Assistant Commissioner

**Dallas County Community College District - Cedar Valley College**

Ms. Diana Flores, Chair, District #6, Board of Trustees

Dr. Joseph Seabrooks, President, Cedar Valley College

Mr. Giles Hawkins, Executive Director, Systemic Reporting and Analytics

**Texas Association of Community Colleges**

Mr. Jacob Fraire, President and Chief Executive Officer

**State Auditor's Office**

Audit Coordinator

**Sunset Advisory Commission**

Ms. Jennifer Jones, Director

**Office of the Governor-Budget and Policy Division**

Ms. Sarah Hicks, Budget and Policy Director

**Legislative Budget Board**

Mr. Christopher Mattsson, Assistant Director